Trends, risk factors and outcomes of healthcare-associated infections within the Italian network SPIN-UTI
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SUMMARY

Background: Implementing infection control measures in light of healthcare-associated infection (HAI) surveillance data can prevent HAI. Surveillance has been associated with a reduction of HAI in intensive care unit (ICU) patients, though the reasons for this improvement remain unclear.

Aim: To evaluate changes in healthcare-associated infection (HAI) rates during three surveys of the Italian Nosocomial Infections Surveillance in Intensive Care Units (ICUs) network (SPIN-UTI) six-year project and to explore sources of variation of indicators of HAI in the 65 participating ICUs.

Methods: The SPIN-UTI network adopted the European protocols for patient-based HAI surveillance. Cumulative incidence, incidence density, infection rates adjusted for device-
Introduction

Reporting and analysing healthcare-associated infection (HAI) surveillance data, with subsequent changes to infection control measures, can prevent HAIs. Conducting surveillance has been associated with a reduction of HAI rates in intensive care unit (ICU) patients, although the particular reasons for this decrease are still difficult to determine. The Italian Nosocomial Infections Surveillance in ICUs network, Sorveglianza Prospettica delle Infezioni Nosocomiali nelle Unità di Terapia Intensiva (SPIN-UTI), was established in Italy in 2005 by the Italian Study Group of Hospital Hygiene (GISIO) of the Italian Society of Hygiene, Preventive Medicine and Public Health (SitI). The SPIN-UTI project has adopted a protocol based on that from the Hospitals in Europe Link for Infection Control through Surveillance (HELICS) network, subsequently updated in accordance with the European Centre for Disease Control and Prevention (ECDC) HAIICU protocol. Italy — first among the European Countries to follow the HELICS-ICU protocol — had conducted a validation study on the SPIN-UTI surveillance data in order to determine the sensitivity, specificity, and positive and negative predictive values of HAI data. The SPIN-UTI network has been acknowledged by the Italian CCM (Centro per il Controllo delle Malattie, Ministry of Health) and has been included in the HELICS-ICU network and as a partner of the IPSE (Improving Patient Safety in Europe) project, of the BURDEN (Burden of Disease and Resistance in European Nations) project, and of the IMPLEMENT (Implementing Strategic Bundles for Infection Prevention and Management) project.

Here, we report surveillance data from the three surveys of the SPIN-UTI six-year project (2006—2011), in order to evaluate any change in infection rates during the study period and to explore sources of variation of indicators of HAI in the 65 participating ICUs.

Methods

Surveillance methodology

Each survey of the SPIN-UTI project consisted of six months of patient-based surveillance conducted between the last quarter of one year and the first quarter of the following year. The first and second surveys, respectively conducted from November 2006 to April 2007, and from October 2008 to March 2009, adopted methods and definitions of the HELICS-ICU protocol. In the third survey, carried out from October 2010 to March 2011, the protocol was updated following the ECDC HAIICU protocol, implemented in 2010 by the ECDC’s TESSy, The European Surveillance System for communicable diseases.

Hospital participation was voluntary and results were handled confidentially. Codes for hospitals and ICUs and patient identifiers were anonymous at the level of the surveillance network. Surveillance was conducted by infection control practitioners, intensive care specialists and other personnel trained in the surveillance methodology and in ECDC definitions. A web-based data collection procedure by means of four electronic data forms, designed using SPSS Data Entry Enterprise Server (SPSS Inc.), was used. At the end of each survey, participating ICUs received a report on surveillance data as feedback, in order to encourage infection control activities on the basis of benchmark indicators.

The selected HAI indicators; cumulative incidence, incidence density, infection rates adjusted for device-days and device utilization ratios, were calculated for each survey as previously reported and compared. The ‘relevant devices’ were: intubation, central vascular catheters, and urinary catheters, respectively. Crude excess mortality was computed as the difference between the crude overall case-fatality rate of patients with HAI and the crude case-fatality rate of patients without HAI in the ICU during the same survey period. Similarly, the crude excess mortalities for patients who developed pneumonia, for patients who developed a bloodstream infection (BSI) and for patients who developed a bloodstream infection (BSI) and pneumonia were calculated.

Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS 14.0 statistical package. Patients’ characteristics were compared using the $\chi^2$-test for categorical variables and Student’s t-test for continuous variables; $P \leq 0.05$ was considered significant. To compare the infection rates and cumulative incidences between the three surveys, relative risk (RR) values with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were determined. Device utilization ratios were compared using the $\chi^2$-test, and $P \leq 0.05$ was considered significant.
In order to assess survey-specific HAI-associated risk factors, a case-control study was designed for each edition of the project. Case patients were those with HAI; control patients were those without HAI. For quantitative variables the median value was chosen as the cut-off point. The association of all variables with the occurrence of HAI was assessed using the \( \chi^2 \) test. In order to take into account the influence of risk factors for HAIs, for each survey of the project, significant variables \((P < 0.05)\) were included in a multiple logistic regression model for multivariate analysis, with stepwise variable selection.

**Results**

**Surveillance indicators**

A total of 65 ICUs participated in at least one edition of the SPIN-UTI project continuously for at least six months, and contributed data to the surveillance database. The number of ICUs participating in each survey were: 49, 28 and 27, respectively. A total of 14 ICUs (21.5%) participated in all three surveys. Characteristics of the 7694 patients admitted to the ICUs for more than two days during the three surveys are reported in Table I. A significant increase in the mean age and Simplified Acute Physiology Score (SAPS) II score of patients, a decrease in the proportion of patients with the occurrence of HAI was assessed using the \( \chi^2 \)-test. In order to take into account the influence of risk factors for HAIs, multiple logistic regression analyses with stepwise variable selection were performed for each survey of the project.

In all three surveys the most frequently detected infection type was pneumonia. The risk of ICU-acquired infections, estimated by computing the cumulative incidence and the incidence density, significantly increased in the third survey compared with the previous surveys.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of ICUs (no. of hospitals)</td>
<td>49 (32)</td>
<td>28 (22)</td>
<td>27 (22)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of patients</td>
<td>3053</td>
<td>2163</td>
<td>2478</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age (years)</td>
<td>63.7</td>
<td>66.0</td>
<td>67.1</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male (%)</td>
<td>61.6</td>
<td>62.8</td>
<td>59.7</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean length of ICU stay (days)</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality (%)</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAPS II score (mean)</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>37.9</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Origin of the patient from hospital (%)</td>
<td>67.1</td>
<td>73.7</td>
<td>78.1</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trauma patients (%)</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients needing acute coronary care (%)</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impaired immunity (%)</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibiotic treatment in 48 h before or after ICU admission (%)</td>
<td>59.9</td>
<td>64.6</td>
<td>62.8</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Risk factor analysis**

To take into account the influence of risk factors for HAIs, multiple logistic regression analyses with stepwise variable selection were performed for each survey of the project. Among risk factors identified in each survey, and taking into account the type of ICU and the Acute Physiology And Chronic Health Evaluation (APACHE) II score, the proportion of patients being admitted to ICUs from other hospital wards and the SAPS...
## Discussion

Indicators of HAIs provided by surveillance activities require comparison with adequate reference data to stimulate further infection control activities. In fact, HAI indicators have been used widely as benchmarks to enhance quality of care.\textsuperscript{12} SPIN-UTI indicators computed for the first two surveys were similar to those described in European reports.\textsuperscript{13–16} The most recent European data reported that, of 70,648 patients staying more than two days in an ICU, 7.1% acquired pneumonia and 4.7% acquired a BSI.\textsuperscript{16}

Surveillance of HAIs, in conjunction with appropriate infection control activities, have been reported by the Study of the Efficacy of Nosocomial Infection Control (SENIC) as an efficacious tool to reduce HAIs.\textsuperscript{17} Other subsequent studies have reported a reduction of the HAI rates in ICU patients.\textsuperscript{5–7} Furthermore, since those studies are not controlled intervention surveys, potential bias associated with other factors, such as patient characteristics, cannot be excluded.\textsuperscript{7} Thus, it remains an open question whether the reduction in HAI rates is also influenced by confounding factors such as changes in the healthcare system, in diagnostic procedures and/or in the patient characteristics.\textsuperscript{3} However, one study reports that following implementation of an infection control programme including HAI surveillance, protocol updates in response to the data obtained, and assessment of caregiver compliance with infection control measures, there was a significant decline in the rate of urinary tract infection (UTI) and central venous catheter (CVC)-related bacteraemia but not of ventilator-associated infection.\textsuperscript{3,18}

### Table II

Comparison of infection indicators in the three surveys of the SPIN-UTI project

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>SPIN-UTI 2006–2007</th>
<th>SPIN-UTI 2008–2009</th>
<th>SPIN-UTI 2010–2011</th>
<th>2nd and 1st surveys RR (95% CI) or P-value, comparison between:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pneumonia (%)</td>
<td>53.6</td>
<td>47.3</td>
<td>51.7</td>
<td>1.01 (0.89–1.14) 1.12 (1.00–1.26) 1.13 (0.99–1.28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bloodstream infection (%)</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary tract infection (%)</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catheter-related infection (%)</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative incidence of infections (per 100 patients)</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative incidence of infected patients (per 100 patients)</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incidence density (per 1000 patient-days)</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>1.11 (0.98–1.26) 1.31 (1.17–1.47) 1.18 (1.04–1.34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of patients who experienced pneumonia</td>
<td>8.4%</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
<td>9.9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intubator-associated pneumonia rate (per 1000 intubator-days)</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>0.83\textsuperscript{a} (0.69–0.99) 1.11 (0.94–1.31) 1.34\textsuperscript{a} (1.11–1.62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of patients who experienced a BSI</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSIs rate (per 1000 patient-days)</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>1.08 (0.83–1.39) 1.43\textsuperscript{a} (1.13–1.80) 1.33\textsuperscript{a} (1.02–1.72)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UC-associated UTIs (per 1000 UC-days)</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>1.07 (0.81–1.42) 0.88 (0.66–1.18) 0.82 (0.61–1.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVC-associated infections rate (per 1000 CVC-days)</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.06 (0.66–1.72) 1.13 (0.71–1.78) 1.06 (0.66–1.69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intubator utilization ratio</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.00 P &lt; 0.00 P &lt; 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVC utilization ratio</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.00 P &lt; 0.00 P &lt; 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UC utilization ratio</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.00 P &lt; 0.00 P &lt; 0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SPIN-UTI, Italian Nosocomial Infections Surveillance in ICUs network; RR, relative risk; CI, confidence interval; BSI, bloodstream infection; UTI, urinary tract infection; CRI, catheter-related infection; UC, urinary catheter; CVC, central venous catheter.

Device-associated infection rates: number of device-related infections per 1000 device-days.

Utilization ratios: number of device-days/number of patient-days.

\textsuperscript{a} Statistically significant (P ≤ 0.05).

Ill both increased significantly in the third survey, from 73.7% to 78.1% and from 37.9 to 40.8 (mean values) respectively.

### Mortality analysis

During the three surveys, mortality rates remained unchanged (Table I), whereas the risk of death increased for infected patients from RR = 2.25 (95% CI: 1.90–2.66) in the first survey, to RR = 2.96 (95% CI: 2.48–3.54) in the second survey, and to RR = 3.19 (95% CI: 2.71–3.74) in the third. The crude excess mortality for patients with HAI increased from 19.3% in the first survey to 29.2% in the second and 30.7% in the third. The crude excess mortality for patients with pneumonia increased from 19.1% in the first survey to 31.4% in the second and to 32.3% in the third. The crude excess mortality for patients with BSI increased from 17.1% in the first survey to 32.9% in the second and 27.2% in the third. Among the infected patients, the crude excess mortality for patients with A. baumannii-associated infection ranged from 10.1% in the first survey to 25.7% in the second and 7.9% in the third.
associated pneumonia. The relatively low pneumonia rates, at programme initiation, together with the lack of effective prevention measures, was suggested to explain in part those results.18

Participation in the SPIN-UTI surveillance was voluntary, and the number of participating hospitals and ICUs varied between surveys. A possible explanation for the decreasing number of participating ICUs observed in the last two surveys is the cost and the heavy workload required for patient-based surveillance activities. However, trends in infection rates computed for the 14 ICUs contributing data to all three surveys did not differ from those computed for all 65 ICUs included (data not shown). In order to take into account such a potential source of bias, we adjusted for patients’ characteristics not only on admission, but also in terms of the length of stay in ICUs and exposure to invasive devices. In the SPIN-UTI project, risk-adjusted indicators of HAIs show that, despite urinary catheter-associated UTI rates and CVC-related infection rates remaining unchanged during the three surveys, both the intubator-associated pneumonia rate and BSI rate significantly increased in the third survey. The real reasons for the increase are difficult to determine. However, device-associated infection rates and device utilization ratios should be examined together, so that preventive measures may be appropriately targeted.19 In the SPIN-UTI project, an increase in the intubator-associated pneumonia rate was shown together with a decrease in the intubator utilization ratio. Therefore, because intubation is a significant risk factor for pneumonia, it is recommended to target efforts not only towards reducing the use of the device or limiting the duration of usage, but also towards the appropriate management of intubation procedures.

Furthermore, since the patient-based component of the European protocol has been adopted for the SPIN-UTI surveillance, data on risk factors and risk-adjusted indicators were used in order to investigate sources of variation. Importantly, the HAI rate increase observed in the present study was shown to be associated with disease severity and treatment intensity, as high SAPS II score and patient origin from the hospital were identified as independent risk factors, and they were shown to increase significantly in the third survey.

Finally, the first and the second surveys of the SPIN-UTI project adopted methods and definitions of the HELICS-ICU protocol, whereas in the third survey, the protocol was updated following the ECDC HAIICU protocol. In particular the SPIN-UTI protocol was updated, removing some variables such as those related to CVC risk factors and the Glasgow score. The list of micro-organisms was updated. The format of device and antibiotic exposure data, with a start date and an end date, introduced in the new European protocol have already been included in the SPIN-UTI protocol since its first edition. As such, none of the changes in the protocol is likely to affect infection rates.

Figure 1. The most frequently reported micro-organisms in healthcare-associated infections (HAIs) (per 100 micro-organisms) according to the Italian Nosocomial Infections Surveillance in Intensive Care Units (SPIN-UTI) network. Stippled bars: 2010–11 period; grey bars: 2008–9 period; black bars: 2006–7 period.
The present study also showed the increasing impact of implementing strategic bundles for infection prevention and control interventions will provide important information for policymakers, managers, and healthcare workers on best practices for tackling the HAI problem.  

In conclusion, our study highlighted the increased risk of HAI, at least partially explained by the greater severity and number of hospitalized patients requiring ICU admission. Our patient-based cohort design allowed us to compute detailed estimates of the outcomes of infections such as case fatality rate and the emerging role of A. baumannii in the Italian ICUs. We identified the management of intubation procedures and of ventilated patients as a potential target for infection control interventions and as such the need of implementation of strategic bundles in order to decrease the growing risk of HAI in the ICUs.
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