Severity of Asymptomatic Carotid Stenosis and Risk of Ipsilateral Hemispheric Ischaemic Events: Results from the ACSRS Study
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Objectives. This study determines the risk of ipsilateral ischaemic neurological events in relation to the degree of asymptomatic carotid stenosis and other risk factors.

Methods. Patients (n = 1115) with asymptomatic internal carotid artery (ICA) stenosis greater than 50% in relation to the bulb diameter were followed up for a period of 6–84 (mean 37.1) months. Stenosis was graded using duplex, and clinical and biochemical risk factors were recorded.

Results. The relationship between ICA stenosis and event rate is linear when stenosis is expressed by the ECST method, but S-shaped if expressed by the NASCET method. In addition to the ECST grade of stenosis (RR 1.6; 95% CI 1.21–2.15), history of contralateral TIAs (RR 3.0; 95% CI 1.90–4.73) and creatinine in excess of 85 $\mu$mol/L (RR 2.1; 95% CI 1.23–3.65) were independent risk predictors. The combination of these three risk factors can identify a high-risk group (7.3% annual event rate and 4.3% annual stroke rate) and a low risk group (2.3% annual event rate and 0.7% annual stroke rate).

Conclusions. Linearity between ECST per cent stenosis and risk makes this method for grading stenosis more amenable to risk prediction without any transformation not only in clinical practice but also when multivariable analysis is to be used. Identification of additional risk factors provides a new approach to risk stratification and should help refine the indications for carotid endarterectomy.
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Introduction

The degree of internal carotid artery (ICA) stenosis is a well-established measurement used to assess the risk of ipsilateral ischaemic neurological events1,2 and a major criterion to decide whether carotid endarterectomy is indicated.

Two main methods are currently used to express percent diameter stenosis. The first one defines the residual lumen as a percentage of the normal distal ICA. It has been used in North America since the late 1960s and more recently the North American Symptomatic Carotid Endarterectomy Trial (NASCET)1 and the Asymptomatic Carotid Atherosclerosis Study (ACAS).2 It has become known as the North American, ‘NASCET’ or ‘N’ method.3 The second method expresses the residual lumen as a percentage of the diameter of the carotid bulb and has been used in the European Carotid Surgery Trial (ECST)4 to become known as the European or ‘ECST’ or ‘E’ method.5 The relationship between both methods is shown in Fig. 1.

Several natural history studies6–15 indicate that the risk of stroke in asymptomatic patients is low (0.1–1.6% per year) for NASCET stenosis less than 75–80% and higher (2.0–3.3% per year) with greater degrees of stenosis. Different cut-off points, ranges and methods of grading stenosis have been used in these natural history studies6–15 and randomized controlled trials.1,2,4,16 Universal agreement as to the best method for grading ICA stenosis and optimum cut-off points in relation to risk have not yet been established.

The aims of this paper based on data from the
asymptomatic carotid stenosis and risk of stroke (ACSRS) natural history study\textsuperscript{17} are to determine:

(a) the risk of ipsilateral ischaemic hemispheric neurological events associated with different degrees of ICA stenosis,
(b) which method of expressing percent stenosis provides a better expression of risk,
(c) which are the most appropriate ranges of stenosis for grouping patients at different risk, and
(d) whether any independent clinical or biochemical risk factors can be used in conjunction with the degree of stenosis to improve risk prediction.

\textbf{Material and Methods}

\textit{Aims of ACSRS study}

The asymptomatic carotid stenosis and risk of stroke (ACSRS) is an ongoing international multicentre study under the auspices of the International Union of Angiology. Patients with asymptomatic 50–99\% stenosis in relation to the carotid bulb diameter (ECST) are followed for at least 5 years to identify subgroups at high and low risk for future neurological events.

Approval has been obtained from the Multicenter Research Ethics Committee (North Thames, London, UK) and local ethics committees. Patients were admitted to the study after informed consent. The methodology used in the ACSRS study, eligibility of participating centres and quality control have been published in detail previously.\textsuperscript{17} Only an outline of the methodology and results related to the degree of ICA stenosis are presented in this paper.

\textit{Admission to the study}

Patients with ICA stenosis greater than 50\% (ECST) on duplex scanning who never had any ipsilateral hemispheric or retinal symptoms and did not have any neurological abnormality on examination were eligible for admission to the study. Patients who had contralateral hemispheric symptoms were also included provided they had been asymptomatic for at least 6 months at the time of recruitment. The ratio of patients with stenosis 50–69 and 70–99\% recruited into the study from any centre had to be 1:2 in order to avoid any selection bias from centres that had a policy to operate on patients with severe asymptomatic stenosis. The side with the more severe stenosis was considered to be the ipsilateral side for any patient with bilateral stenosis.

\textit{Risk factors and non-invasive investigations}

The following clinical risk factors and their duration and severity were recorded for each patient: age, gender, height and weight, hypertension, cardiac status (history of myocardial infarction or angina), diabetes, smoking, fibrinogen, fasting blood cholesterol, HDL, LDL, triglycerides, creatinine and haematocrit.

Duplex scanning was performed on admission to the study and subsequently every 6 months to grade ICA stenosis. The team that included a neurologist reviewed each patient at all visits to provide clinical information to document the rate of progression of ICA stenosis. Velocity criteria for grading the degree of stenosis are summarized in Table 1.\textsuperscript{3} Because absolute velocity measurements could underestimate stenosis (e.g. in the presence of cardiac arrhythmia) or over-estimate stenosis (e.g. in the presence of severe contralateral disease), ultrasonographers at each centre were trained to use a combination of absolute velocity measurements and velocity ratios.\textsuperscript{3,18–25}

Characteristics of vertebral artery flow whether cephalad, reversed or absent were also recorded. The entire duplex examination was recorded on videotape.

\textbf{Fig. 1.} The relationship between ECST and NASCET \% stenosis. The conversion scale is based on the following equations: \[ N = (\text{ECST stenosis} - 43) \times (100/57) \] and \[ E = (\text{NASCET \% stenosis} - (57/100) + 43) \] (note: a 43\% stenosis of the bulb reduces the lumen to the diameter of the lumen of the normal distal internal carotid artery).
Primary endpoints were ipsilateral hemispheric ischaemic stroke (including fatal stroke) defined as a hemispheric neurological deficit lasting for more than 24 h, any stroke, ipsilateral hemispheric TIAs, amaurosis fugax and death from cardiovascular causes other than stroke. For reported strokes a special form was filled locally and two members of the coordinating team, which included a neurologist, made the final classification. The local team made the diagnosis of TIAs or amaurosis fugax.

Exit points are any of the above endpoints, death from any cause, ipsilateral carotid endarterectomy or stenting. Patients who had carotid endarterectomy or stenting were excluded from subsequent analysis, as they would no longer be contributing to the natural history of asymptomatic carotid stenosis.

Quality control

The ultrasound methodology in the ACSRS study includes a set of procedures designed to control quality and monitor key components of measurements. These include instrumentation settings, method of recording data, standardization of the method of scanning and personnel training at the coordinating centre. Throughout the study’s performance is compared to the set standards. Results of the quality control, already published, indicate that the goal of prospectively controlling quality in the ACSRS Study has been achieved.

Statistical analysis

The SPSS for Windows (release 10.01 Chicago, Ill, USA) statistical package was used throughout. The chi-square test was used to test the significance of the incidence of event rates in relation to grades of stenosis (Tables 2–4) and in univariate analyses between clinical risk factors and neurological events. Kaplan–Meier curves were used for event-free survival rates and log rank tests for significance of difference between curves. Cox regression analysis was used to determine which clinical and biochemical risk factors as well as stenosis were independent predictors of risk. The level of significance was considered as p ≤ 0.05.

Role of the funding source

The sponsors of the study had no role in the study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, writing of the report or decision to submit the report for publication.

Results

The results presented in this paper are based on the first 1115 patients recruited with a follow-up of 6–84
months (mean 37.1). A total of 108 ipsilateral hemispheric events have occurred: 18 were amaurosis fugax (AF), 44 TIAs and 48 strokes of which 46 were ischaemic (eight fatal) and two were haemorrhagic (both fatal). There have been a total of 163 deaths of which 105 were due to cardiovascular causes. Ipsilateral carotid endarterectomy has been performed in 116 patients with severe stenosis (median: 85% in relation to the bulb; interquartile range: 75–90) because the doctor or the patient requested it despite absence of neurological events. This was particularly so after publication of the ACST results. Eighteen patients have been lost to follow-up: six at 6 months, two at 12 months, one at 18 months, one at 24 months, three at 30 months, four at 36 months and one at 72 months. Of the 18 patients contact was lost with 12, five declined to re-attend and one emigrated. The number of patients followed up is 1115 for 6 months, 964 for 12 months, 851 for 18 months, 745 for 24 months, 640 for 30 months, 543 for 36 months, 459 for 42 months, 376 for 48 months, 323 for 54 months, 246 for 60 months, 147 for 66 months, 90 for 72 months, 43 for 78 months and 27 for 84 months.

**Relation to ICA stenosis**

The numbers of different ipsilateral ischaemic hemispheric neurological events in relation to stenosis expressed by the ECST and NASCET methods are listed in Tables 2 and 3, respectively. The incidence of ipsilateral hemispheric events appears to have a linear relationship with ECST stenosis (Fig. 2(A)), but not with NASCET stenosis (Fig. 2(B)). The cumulative event-free survival rate for all ipsilateral events in relation to the ECST and NASCET methods for stenosis is shown in Fig. 3. Fig. 3(B) demonstrates that there is little difference in the event-free survival rate between the groups with NASCET percent stenosis 30–49, 50–69 and 70–89%.

Table 2 lists the number of different ipsilateral ischaemic hemispheric neurological events in relation to the ECST method of grading internal carotid artery stenosis (chi-square 13.4; \( p = 0.037 \))

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>% ECST stenosis</th>
<th>AF</th>
<th>TIA</th>
<th>Stroke</th>
<th>All events</th>
<th>All patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50–69</td>
<td>3 (1.5%)</td>
<td>4 (2.1%)</td>
<td>3 (1.5%)</td>
<td>10 (5.1%)</td>
<td>194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70–89</td>
<td>6 (1.0%)</td>
<td>25 (4.2%)</td>
<td>23 (3.9%)</td>
<td>54 (9.1%)</td>
<td>593</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90–99</td>
<td>9 (2.7%)</td>
<td>15 (4.6%)</td>
<td>20 (6.1%)</td>
<td>44 (13.4%)</td>
<td>328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>18 (1.6%)</td>
<td>44 (3.9%)</td>
<td>46 (4.1%)</td>
<td>108 (9.7%)</td>
<td>1115</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. The number of different ipsilateral ischaemic hemispheric neurological events in relation to the NASCET method of grading internal carotid artery stenosis (chi-square 27.9; \( p = 0.007 \))

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>% NASCET stenosis</th>
<th>AF</th>
<th>TIA</th>
<th>Stroke</th>
<th>All events</th>
<th>All patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;30</td>
<td>1 (1.0%)</td>
<td>1 (1.0%)</td>
<td>2 (2.0%)</td>
<td>4 (4.0%)</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30–49</td>
<td>3 (1.4%)</td>
<td>6 (2.9%)</td>
<td>8 (3.8%)</td>
<td>17 (8.1%)</td>
<td>209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50–69</td>
<td>3 (0.9%)</td>
<td>14 (4.0%)</td>
<td>12 (3.4%)</td>
<td>29 (8.2%)</td>
<td>352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70–89</td>
<td>8 (2.3%)</td>
<td>18 (5.2%)</td>
<td>11 (3.2%)</td>
<td>37 (10.7%)</td>
<td>344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90–99</td>
<td>3 (2.8%)</td>
<td>5 (4.6%)</td>
<td>13 (11.9)</td>
<td>21 (19.3%)</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>18 (1.6%)</td>
<td>44 (3.9%)</td>
<td>46 (4.1%)</td>
<td>108 (9.7%)</td>
<td>1115</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. The number of different ipsilateral ischaemic hemispheric neurological events in relation to less than 60 and 60–99% NASCET internal carotid artery stenosis (chi-square 4.6; \( p = 0.21 \))

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>% NASCET stenosis</th>
<th>AF</th>
<th>TIA</th>
<th>Stroke</th>
<th>All events</th>
<th>All patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;60</td>
<td>6 (1.2%)</td>
<td>15 (3.0%)</td>
<td>16 (3.2%)</td>
<td>37 (7.4%)</td>
<td>499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60–99</td>
<td>12 (1.9%)</td>
<td>29 (4.6%)</td>
<td>30 (4.7%)</td>
<td>71 (11.2%)</td>
<td>636</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>18 (1.6%)</td>
<td>44 (3.9%)</td>
<td>46 (4.1%)</td>
<td>108 (9.7%)</td>
<td>1115</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2. The incidence of ipsilateral ischaemic hemispheric events (A) in relation to the ECST % stenosis of the internal carotid artery and (B) in relation to the NASCET % stenosis of the internal carotid artery.
elevated creatinine levels were (Fig. 4). The best cut off level for creatinine as determined by an ROC curve was 85 μmol/L.

In a Cox regression analysis all three factors: severity of ipsilateral stenosis (ECST), history of contralateral hemispheric TIAs and creatinine were independent predictors of risk (Table 5). Thus, after adjusting for confounding variables stenosis remained significant. Based on this Cox regression model the linear risk predictor score \( x^* \text{Beta} \) (SPSS version 10.01) was calculated for every patient. This score is the sum of the product of mean-centered covariance values and their corresponding parameter estimates for each patient. The upper quartile of this score consisted of a high-risk group with a 44% cumulative event rate at 6 years and the remaining lower three quartiles consisted of a low-risk group with a 14% cumulative event rate at 6 years (Fig. 5). Fifty events including 25 strokes were in the high-risk group. Fifty-eight of the events including 21 strokes were in the low-risk group.

Discussion

The results of the ACSRS study demonstrate that the risk of ipsilateral ischaemic hemispheric events rises with increasing severity of ICA stenosis (Tables 2–3 and Fig. 2) and confirm the findings of previous natural history studies irrespective of different cut-off points used: 50,6,8,10–13 7510,11 and 80%9 in relation to the distal internal carotid or 5012 and 80%12 in relation to the bulb.

The NASCET randomised controlled study used angiography and a cut-off point of 70% stenosis in relation to the distal internal carotid which is equivalent to 83% stenosis in relation to the bulb. The ECST randomised controlled study used angiography also but a cut-off point of 70% stenosis in relation to the bulb which is equivalent to 47% stenosis in relation to the distal internal carotid artery. Many vascular surgeons are under the impression that these cut-off points are similar! The only similarity is the value of 70%. In reality the difference in terms of plaque size or residual lumen is considerable. However, with increasing degrees of stenosis the values of

Fig. 3. (A) The ipsilateral hemispheric event-free cumulative survival rate in relation to the ECST % stenosis of the internal carotid artery (50–69% group: \( n = 101 \); 70–89% group: \( n = 593 \); 90–99% group: \( n = 328 \)). Overall log rank: \( 11.7 \ p = 0.0026; 50–69 \ vs \ 79–89\% \ p = 0.045; 79–89 \ vs \ 90–99\% \ p = 0.020; 50–69 \ vs \ 90–99\% \ p = 0.0014; \) (b) the ipsilateral hemispheric event free cumulative survival rate in relation to the NASCET % stenosis of the internal carotid artery (12–30% group: \( n = 101 \); 30–49% group: \( n = 209 \); 50–69% group: \( n = 352 \); 60–99% group: \( n = 354 \); 90–99% group: \( n = 109 \)). Overall log rank: \( 11.7 \ p = 0.0026; \) statistical significance between the four lower grades of stenosis \( p > 0.1 \); 90–99% \ vs \ any other group \( p < 0.01; \) (c) the ipsilateral hemispheric event free cumulative survival rate in relation to the NASCET % stenosis of the internal carotid artery (<60% group: \( n = 497 \); 60–99% group: \( n = 636 \)). Log rank: \( 5.2 \ p = 0.022 \). In view of the small number of patients that have been followed for 7 years \( (n = 27) \) the abrupt drop in the survival curve should be ignored.
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the two methods converge and the discrepancy decreases (Fig. 1).

The method of expressing stenosis as a percentage of the distal internal carotid dates back to the 1960s when angiography was the only imaging method available. It was a standard method in North America until duplex ultrasound became available. The duplex velocity criteria for grading internal carotid artery stenosis developed by Strandness and his team in the 1980s were in relation to the percent stenosis of the bulb. Their gold standard was angiography and microcalcification in the arterial wall was used to define the outline of the bulb. Several studies have since redefined the velocity criteria in terms of percent stenosis of the distal internal carotid (Table 1). It can be seen in Table 1 that the velocity criteria for 70% stenosis ‘NASCET’ are very different from 70% stenosis ‘ECST’.

Radiology departments and vascular laboratories often express the results of angiography or duplex ultrasound as ‘percent stenosis’ without any indication whether the ‘percent stenosis’ is expressed in terms of the bulb or the distal internal carotid. The ACST randomised controlled study used duplex to grade the degree of stenosis with 70% as the cut-off point, but neither the velocity criteria nor what 70% stenosis refers to (bulb or distal internal carotid) has been stated. Without such a statement it is not possible to know whether some teams were expressing their results as percent stenosis of the bulb and others of the internal carotid. Much needs to be done in terms of education on this issue and for standardisation of methods published in vascular journals.

Fig. 4. (A) The ipsilateral hemispheric event-free cumulative survival rate in relation to the presence or absence of history of contralateral TIAs 6 months or more before admission to the study (history absent: \( n = 1014 \); history present: \( n = 101 \)). Log rank: 26.7 \( p = 0.00001 \); (B) The ipsilateral hemispheric event free cumulative survival rate in relation to the creatinine plasma levels (<85 \( \mu \)mol/L group: \( n = 283 \); >85 \( \mu \)mol/L group: \( n = 835 \)). Log rank: 7.8 \( p = 0.0051 \). In view of the small number of patients that have been followed for 7 years (\( n = 27 \)) the abrupt drop in the survival curve should be ignored.
finding that in the control group of the ACST\textsuperscript{16} the ipsilateral stroke rate was double in those with a history of contralateral symptoms than those without.

The relationship between stenosis and event rate is linear if expressed as ECST per cent stenosis (Fig. 2(A)), but it is S-shaped if expressed as NASCET per cent stenosis (Fig. 2(B)). Linearity between ECST per cent stenosis and risk makes this method for grading stenosis more amenable to risk prediction without any transformation not only in clinical practice but also when linear logistic or Cox regression analysis is to be used.

The results of the ACSRS study, like previous natural history studies which included patients with lesions up to 99% stenosis,\textsuperscript{6,8–12} demonstrate that a considerable number of events occur at low grades of stenosis. In fact 37 (34%) of 108 ipsilateral ischaemic hemispheric events including 16 (35%) of the 46 strokes (Table 4) occurred in patients with stenosis less than 60% NASCET (<77% ECST), the selection criterion for carotid endarterectomy in asymptomatic patients as indicated from the findings of the ACAS trial. Only 10 (9%) of the events including 3 (3%) of the 70 strokes occurred in patients with stenosis less than 70% ECST equivalent to approximately 50% NASCET (Table 2). This is because the 60% NASCET cut-off point is in the middle of the moderate risk-group (Fig. 3(B)). In contrast, the ECST 70% cut-off point is in the lower end of the moderate-risk group (Fig. 3(A)).

Of the clinical and biochemical risk factors studied only two were associated with an increased overall event rate in a univariate analysis: history of contralateral TIAs (Fig. 4(A)) and creatinine levels (Fig. 4(B)). The fact that most of the established clinical and biochemical risk factors for atherosclerosis were not associated with an increased event rate is not surprising because these risk factors are present in the majority of patients in the study who are all arteriopaths. All three risk factors, stenosis (ECST), history of contralateral TIAs and creatinine remained significant independent predictors in a Cox regression analysis (Table 5). Table 5 indicates that the relative risk between ECST 50–69 and 70–89% or between 70–89 and 90–99% stenosis is 1.6; also, the risk increases three times if there is a history of contralateral TIAs and 2.1 times if creatinine is higher than 85 µmol/L.

Epidemiology studies have demonstrated that mild to moderately raised serum creatinine is an independent predictor of cardiovascular risk and particularly ischaemic stroke.\textsuperscript{28,29} A more recent study has demonstrated that elevated serum creatinine levels even within the normal range are associated with an increased risk of stroke in both normotensive and hypertensive men.\textsuperscript{30}

The combination of all three significant risk factors (stenosis, history of ipsilateral TIAs and creatinine) allows one to identify a high-risk group with a 44% cumulative event rate at 7 years (7.3% annual event rate) and a low-risk group with a 14% cumulative event rate at 7 years (2.3% annual event rate). The cumulative stroke rate at 7 years is 26% (4.3% annual stroke rate) in the high-risk group and 4.2% (0.7% annual stroke rate) in the low risk group. However, this means that only 46% of all events including 54% of the strokes were in the high-risk group, the rest occurring in the low risk group. The contribution of plaque characterisation using image normalisation and texture feature extraction techniques in improving these findings so that a high-risk group can be identified that contains the majority of the strokes\textsuperscript{31} will be the subject of another paper.

The potential practical implications of the above findings are as follows. In patients with 50–69% ECST stenosis even in the presence of a history of contralateral TIAs or creatinine in excess of 85 µmol/L or in the presence of both, the annual stroke rate is not higher than 1%. In patients with 70–89% ECST stenosis, in the presence of a history of contralateral TIAs and creatinine in excess of 85 µmol/L the annual stroke rate is 3.2%. In the rest of the patients with 70–89% ECST stenosis even when one of the other two risk factors is present the annual stroke rate is not higher than 1.5%. In patients with 90–99% ECST stenosis, in the absence of a history of contralateral TIAs and with creatinine less than 85 µmol/L the annual stroke rate is 1%; in the presence of creatinine in excess of 85 µmol/L it is 2.2% and in the presence of a history of contralateral TIAs it is 2.6%. In the presence of both, history of contralateral TIAs and creatinine in excess of 85 µmol/L the annual stroke rate is 6.3%.

Our findings on risk assessment from the ACSRS study need to be validated by other natural history

Table 5. Results of Cox regression analysis with ipsilateral ischaemic hemispheric neurological events as the dependent variable; ECST stenosis (three groups: 50–69, 70–89, 90–99%), creatinine (two groups: <85 µmol/L, >85 µmol/L) and history of contralateral TIAs 6 months or more prior to admission to the study were the independent variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Independent variables</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>Exp(B)</th>
<th>95% CI for exp(B)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ECST stenosis</td>
<td>0.480</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>1.615</td>
<td>1.21–2.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of contralateral TIAs</td>
<td>1.099</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>3.002</td>
<td>1.90–4.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine</td>
<td>0.749</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>2.116</td>
<td>1.23–3.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
studies, the control group of the ACST study and the asymptomatic sides of the ECST and NASCET trials. If confirmed, they will provide a new approach to risk stratification and may help refine the indications for carotid endarterectomy.
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